UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,317	08/23/2006	Hakan Bergkvist	P71432US0	8138
	7590 04/12/201 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			LEE, GILBERT Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/590,317	BERGKVIST, HAKAN
Examiner	Art Unit
GILBERT Y. LEE	3676

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	er sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day a application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an ar application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reperiods:	mendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection	on.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MON Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ITHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petitic have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the counder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	rresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 C filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the d (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appearance appears; and/or	eal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding no NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	umber of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached	Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submit 	tted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be enhow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or an The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reason was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apperentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejest showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not early as a contract of the contract	ctions under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a rlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	·
allowance because:	
In response to applicant's argument that the references fail t invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant	**
being stiff/rigid in two directions and only provided with two recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are into	
limitations from the specification are not read into the claim USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In response to applicant's a	ns. See <i>In re Van Geuns</i> , 988 F.2d 1181, 26
stable than the modified Bergkvist reference, a recitation of result in a structural difference between the claimed invention distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the	the intended use of the claimed invention must on and the prior art in order to patentably
intended use, then it meets the claim.	1 1
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Pa	per No(s)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Thomas B Will/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3671

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100329

Application No.